Claims 9-18 are currently pending in this application, with claims 1-8 being canceled by this

Amendment.

The Office Action rejected claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the enablement requirement; and rejected claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second

paragraph, as indefinite.

By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 1-8 and added new claims 9-18,

rendering the Section 112 rejections moot. Applicant believes that new claims 9-18 comport with 35

U.S.C. § 112, and are more than adequately supported by the disclosure of the application. For

example, pages 5-22 of the specification provide detailed descriptions of the precoded and vector

OFDM systems of the present invention, as well as numerical examples of the same. Furthermore,

Figs. 2 and 4-8 provide further disclosure of the precoded and vector OFDM systems of the present

invention.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant requests the reconsideration of the application

and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

345278\_1.DOC

Page 6 of 7

Application No. 09/658,184 Amendment dated July 19, 2004 Reply to Office Action of April 19, 2004

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 03-2775. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

Dated: July 19, 2004

James M. Olsen Reg. No. 40,408

> Telephone: (302) 888-6256 Facsimile: (302) 255-4256